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#### Abstract

We propose and implement a spectral approach to describe continuum states of diatomic systems. The method is based on Generalized Sturmian Functions in prolate spheroidal coordinates. With the one-electron dihydrogen cation as an example, we demonstrate the numerical efficiency by calculating photoionization cross sections.


## 1. Introduction

The molecular ion $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{+}$is the simplest stable molecular system, and is presented in standard molecular physics books since it allows one to understand why molecules form. Besides, this one-electron molecular ion is involved in many reactions that finally produce polyatomic molecules, and is thus important for example in astrophysics.
$\mathrm{H}_{2}^{+}$(as well as its isotopologues) is composed of two nuclei and an electron, constituting a quantum three-body problem with Coulomb interactions which has been extensively studied since the early days of quantum mechanics. ${ }^{1-3}$ It was rapidly recognized that prolate spheroidal coordinates, which possess the natural symmetry of diatomic systems, are the best suited choice since they make the Schrödinger equation separable (see also Ref. 4).

In quantum chemistry, one is generally interested in obtaining the bound part of the energy spectrum, that is to say finding the energy levels and the corresponding bound-state wavefunctions. For one-electron diatomic systems, in the fixed-nuclei approximation, the molecular three-body problem reduces to solving a system of two coupled ordinary differential equations (one (quasi)radial and one (quasi)angular); while analytical solutions exist formally, ${ }^{1-3}$ they involve two expansions that are not particularly tractable giving rise to complicated energy equations. One thus generally resorts to one of the numerical methods available in the literature (see, e.g., 5-8 and references therein). Energies and wavefunctions of the ground and several excited states have been obtained with great precision, in particular for the archetypal $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{+}$ion.

The continuum part of the spectrum is of a different nature, and is useful in different contexts, such as scattering studies. One example is provided by the electron attachment to a neutral molecular system. Another example appears when a charged particle, or a photon, interacts with a molecule, since one possible process is ionization of the target. In that case an electron is ejected from a specific orbital of the molecule, with a positive kinetic energy set by energy conservation; such an electron should then be described by a continuum state. The energy being fixed a priori, the corresponding wavefunction, whose spatial part will oscillate up to infinity, remains to be determined. Appropriate scattering boundary conditions have to be imposed and the accurate description of such non $-L^{2}$ wavefunctions is therefore more laborious and computationally more expensive. The study of continuum states for atomic systems has a long history, and most methods were developed in spherical coordinates. Some of them have been adapted or extended to molecular systems, whether in a monocentric or a multicentric approach. Closely related to the present work, one such method is the spectral approach that uses one-electron Generalized Sturmian Functions. ${ }^{9}$ Initially applied to atomic systems (including the notorious three-body correlated system $\left.\left(p^{+}, e^{-}, e^{-}\right)\right)$, the approach has then been extended $^{10}$ to deal with molecules in a one-center approach (see Ref. 11
for an application to single photoionization and ${ }^{12}$ to ionization by electron impact). More recently, the photodouble ionization of water ${ }^{13,14}$ has been studied with a correlated two-electron continuum built with one-electron Generalized Sturmian Functions. Because of their geometry, diatomic molecules in general and one-electron diatomic molecular systems in particular merit a separate treatment; for the continuum part of the spectrum, a number of numerical schemes have been proposed since the 1970s (see, e.g., 15-21 and references therein). Spherical coordinates are not natural for two-center systems, and the use of prolate spheroidal coordinates should provide, in principle, the most effective framework. ${ }^{7}$

We have recently started to investigate diatomic molecules within a Generalized Sturmian Functions approach extended to prolate spheroidal coordinates. As a first step ${ }^{8}$ we looked at the bound states of the molecular ion $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{+}$(and some other heteronuclear ions, such as $\mathrm{HHe}^{+2}$ or $\mathrm{HLi}^{+3}$ ). It turns out that our method is computationally efficient: small basis sets suffice to obtain rather accurate ground and excited states. Besides, the method proved to be robust over a wide range of internuclear distances $R$, including in the notoriously difficult atomic limit. Although of a different nature, for completeness, we should mention that Coulomb Sturmian Functions in these spheroidal coordinates have been investigated and applied to a similar study of bound states ${ }^{7,22}$ (see also Ref. 23 for some of the underlying mathematics).

In this work, we wish to tackle the second step, that is to say the continuum part of the spectrum. The spectral approach allows one to treat bound and continuum states in a similar fashion, with Generalized Sturmian Functions of, respectively, negative or positive energy. One of the main advantages is that an appropriate asymptotic behavior can be imposed on all basis elements: inserting the correct physics into the basis makes the expansions converge rapidly. While such spectral method has been explored in spherical and hyperspherical coordinates, exploited successfully in atomic systems, and later extended to molecules treated in a monocentric way, we now wish to focus on diatomic molecules, and thus work with prolate spheroidal coordinates. As a start, we present here one-electron basis functions, postponing the study of correlated systems to a later stage. We implement and illustrate the method by obtaining continuum states of $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{+}$which provides a benchmark for numerical issues. The quality of our wavefunctions is tested through time-independent calculations of photoionization cross sections. A single photon ionizes the molecular target, and the electron is ejected into the continuum with a given energy $E$. Both the initial bound
state and the continuum state of energy $E$ are described within our Sturmian formalism. The cross sections can be compared with results published in the literature.

The rest of the paper is arranged as follows: In Section 2, we begin with the description of a general one-electron system in prolate spheroidal coordinates and outline the proposed Sturmian method. Details of the numerical scheme are also provided. Results of our calculations are presented in Section 3, where photoionization cross sections of the ground state of $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{+}$ are compared with those found in the literature. A short summary is given in Section 4.

Atomic units ( $\hbar=m_{e}=e=1$ ) are assumed throughout.

## 2. Generalized Sturmian Functions method

### 2.1 Prolate coordinates and the Schrödinger equation

We wish to study a diatomic molecular system consisting of one electron and two nuclei of arbitrary charges $Z_{1}$ and $Z_{2}$ placed at a fixed distance $R$ along a line defining the $z$-axis (see Fig. 1). For further use, we set $a_{1}=R\left(Z_{1}-Z_{2}\right)$ and $a_{2}=R\left(Z_{1}+Z_{2}\right)$. It is well known that such systems are best investigated with prolate spheroidal coordinates, defined by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\xi \equiv \frac{r_{1}+r_{2}}{R} \quad 1 \leq \xi<\infty  \tag{1a}\\
\eta \equiv \frac{r_{1}-r_{2}}{R} \quad-1 \leq \eta \leq 1  \tag{1b}\\
\phi \equiv \arctan \left(\frac{y}{x}\right) \quad 0 \leq \phi \leq 2 \pi \tag{1c}
\end{gather*}
$$



Fig. 1 One-electron diatomic molecule, with two nuclei separated by a distance $R$, and the electron at a distance $r_{1}$ from nucleus 1 and $r_{2}$ from nucleus 2 .

The volume element is $\frac{R^{3}}{8}\left(\xi^{2}-\eta^{2}\right) d \xi d \eta d \phi$.
The molecular system involves three Coulomb interactions. The electronnuclei potential is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(\xi, \eta)=-\frac{Z_{1}}{r_{1}}-\frac{Z_{2}}{r_{2}}=-\frac{2}{R^{2}} \frac{a_{2} \xi-a_{1} \eta}{\left(\xi^{2}-\eta^{2}\right)} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the fixed-nuclei approximation, the nuclear repulsive potential energy $1 / R$ is an additive constant since the internuclear distance $R$ enters as a parameter.

The Schrödinger equation for the electron (we neglect any nuclei finite mass effect)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{-\frac{2}{R^{2}\left(\xi^{2}-\eta^{2}\right)}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}\left(\xi^{2}-1\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}+\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}\left(1-\eta^{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad+\frac{\xi^{2}-\eta^{2}}{\left(\xi^{2}-1\right)\left(1-\eta^{2}\right)} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \phi^{2}}\right]+V(\eta, \xi)\right\} \psi(\xi, \eta, \phi)=E \psi(\xi, \eta, \phi), \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

is separable in prolate spheroidal coordinates. The solution is expressed as a product of three functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(\xi, \eta, \phi)=U(\xi) \Lambda(\eta) \Phi(\phi) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The azimuthal function $\Phi$ fulfills the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} \Phi}{\mathrm{~d} \phi^{2}}+m^{2} \Phi=0, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose solutions are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(\phi)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{i m \phi}, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $m=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \cdots$ ( $m$ is a good quantum number because of the axial symmetry of the potential). Once the azimuthal dependence has been eliminated, we are left with a two variable differential equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}\left[\left(\xi^{2}-1\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}\right]+a_{2} \xi \mp p^{2} \xi^{2}-\frac{m^{2}}{\xi^{2}-1}\right.  \tag{7}\\
& \left.\quad+\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}\left[\left(1-\eta^{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}\right]-a_{1} \eta \pm p^{2} \eta^{2}-\frac{m^{2}}{1-\eta^{2}}\right\} U(\xi) \Lambda(\eta)=0,
\end{align*}
$$

where $p^{2}=\frac{|E| R^{2}}{2}>0$ is a scaled energy, and the upper sign is for the bound case and the lower sign for continuum states. Eq. (7) is also separable, and one gets a system of two ordinary differential equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}\left[\left(\xi^{2}-1\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}\right]+a_{2} \xi \mp p^{2} \xi^{2}-\frac{m^{2}}{\xi^{2}-1}+A_{m q}\right] U_{m q}(\xi)=0}  \tag{8a}\\
& {\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}\left[\left(1-\eta^{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}\right]-a_{1} \eta \pm p^{2} \eta^{2}-\frac{m^{2}}{1-\eta^{2}}-A_{m q}\right] \Lambda_{m q}(\eta)=0} \tag{8b}
\end{align*}
$$

where the label $q$ refers to the number of zeros of the function $\Lambda_{m q}(\eta)$. The (quasi)radial equation for $U_{m q}(\xi)$ and the (quasi)angular equation for $\Lambda_{m q}(\eta)$, are coupled through both the scaled energy $p^{2}$ and the separation constant $A_{m q}$. States with different $m$ values are not coupled, and can therefore be considered independently.

### 2.2 Sturmian approach

For both bound and continuum states, we solve the coupled equations using a spectral approach, based on expansions on Sturmian functions in radial and angular coordinates.

### 2.2.1 Angular

For a given $m$ and $q$, the solution of Eq. (8b) is proposed as an expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{|m|, q}(\eta)=\sum_{j} c_{j} S_{j}^{a}(\eta) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

on Sturmian functions, i.e., eigenfunctions of the Sturmian equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}\left[\left(1-\eta^{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}\right]-\frac{m^{2}}{1-\eta^{2}}\right] S_{j}^{a}(\eta)=-\beta_{j} S_{j}^{a}(\eta) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with boundary conditions $S_{j}^{a}(1)=1$ and $S_{j}^{a}(-1)=(-1)^{j}$ for $m=0$ and $S_{j}^{a}(1)=S_{j}^{a}(-1)=0$ for $m \neq 0$. The solutions are actually the well-known associated Legendre polynomials, ${ }^{24} S_{j}^{a}(\eta)=P_{j}^{m}(\eta)$, and correspond to eigenvalues $\beta_{j}=j(j+1)$.

The normalization of our resulting angular solution $\Lambda_{|m|, q}(\eta)$ is taken such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1}^{1} \Lambda_{|m|, q}(\eta) \Lambda_{|m|, q^{\prime}}(\eta) d \eta=\delta_{q q^{\prime}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.2.2 Radial

For the radial part, we propose an expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(\xi)=\left(\xi^{2}-1\right)^{|m| / 2} \sum_{j} d_{j} \mathcal{S}_{j}^{r}(\xi), \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

on a basis of Generalized Sturmian Functions $\mathcal{S}_{j}^{r}(\xi)$ generated by the Sturmian equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}\left[\left(\xi^{2}-1\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}\right]+2 \xi|m| \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}+a_{2} \xi-p_{s}^{2} \xi^{2}\right] \mathcal{S}_{j}^{r}(\xi)=\alpha_{j} V_{\mathrm{s}}(\xi) \mathcal{S}_{j}^{r}(\xi), \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{j}$ are the eigenvalues and $p_{s}^{2}=-\frac{R^{2} E_{s}}{2}$ is a parameter that can be set freely. $V_{s}$, known as generating potential, must be a short range potential and we adopt here the same choice as in Ref. 8 . The two boundary conditions enforced on the basis are as follows: At $\xi=1$, we require $\mathcal{S}_{j}^{r}(\xi) \neq 0$ and finite (for bound-type Sturmian functions more details are given in Ref. 8). At the other end, for $\xi \rightarrow \infty$, for bound-type functions we simply impose a vanishing $\mathcal{S}_{j}^{r}(\xi)$ for large $\xi$; technically we fix $\mathcal{S}_{j}^{r}\left(\xi=\xi_{\max }\right)=0$ where $\xi_{\text {max }}$ is the radial box size considered as sufficiently large. For continuum-type Sturmian functions, we impose the sinusoidal behavior (see Eq. 14), still imposing $\mathcal{S}_{j}^{r}\left(\xi=\xi_{\text {max }}\right)=0$ and normalizing $\mathcal{S}_{j}^{r}(\xi)$ so that the last maximum of $\left[\sqrt{\frac{8}{\pi}} \frac{1}{\xi R} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\right]^{-1} \mathcal{S}_{j}^{r}(\xi)$ is set to have a unit amplitude.

The choice of $E_{s}$ is conveniently guided by the physical behavior one wishes to describe, and thus depends on whether one is interested in having a bound or continuum basis.

For a bound state with an a priori unknown energy value, taking $E_{s}<0$ close to a guess of the sought after energy turns out to be a good choice. With this choice, all basis elements $\mathcal{S}_{j}^{r}(\xi)$ will have an exponential decay $e^{-p_{s} \xi}=e^{-\sqrt{-E_{s} R^{2} / 2} \xi}$, and will be suited to build up the radial part of that bound state.

For a continuum state of energy $E=k^{2} / 2>0$, taking $E_{s}=E$ is a natural choice since all basis elements will then intrinsically possess the adequate energy; furthermore, if $V_{s}$ is taken to be of short range, Eq. (13) indicates that they will all have the correct asymptotic behavior. This is a key feature of our Sturmian approach. Finally, the radial functions $U_{|m|, q}^{k}(\xi)$ are built to behave asymptotically $(\xi \gg 1)$ as ${ }^{19,20}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{|m|, q}^{k}(\xi)=\sqrt{\frac{8}{\pi}} \frac{1}{\xi R} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \sin \left(\frac{k R \xi}{2}+\frac{Z_{1}+Z_{2}}{k} \ln (k R \xi)-\frac{\ell \pi}{2}+\Delta_{|m|, q}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\ell=q+|m|$ and $\Delta_{|m|, q}$ a phase, as to be normalized in the energy space

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{R^{3}}{8} \int_{1}^{\infty} \tilde{U}_{|m|, q}^{k}(\xi) \tilde{U}_{|m|, q}^{k^{\prime}}(\xi) \xi^{2} d \xi=\delta\left(E-E^{\prime}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In both continuum and bound cases, the Sturmian expansions convert the two differential equations into two generalized eigenvalue problems (see details in Ref. 8), solving the eigenvalue problem for the $A_{m q}$ parameters for the angular part and for the eigenvalues $p^{2}$ for the radial part. An appropriate choice of $E_{s}$ will impose the correct energy behavior onto the radial Sturmian functions, ultimately making the basis more efficient from a convergence point of view.

### 2.2.3 Numerical procedure

For bound states, we adopt an iterative scheme as detailed in Ref. 8 for any given value of the quantum number $m$. We start from a guess energy value $p^{2}$ for a given number $q$ of angular nodes. We solve the angular eigensystem (8b) to find the separation constant $A_{m q}$. With that value of $A_{m q}$ we then solve the radial eigensystem (8a) with our Sturmian basis and find the energy eigenvalues. We then select the closest eigenvalue to the initial guess and iterate that energy in the angular equation to find the new $A_{m q}$. The iteration is performed until the energy difference is smaller than $10^{-10}$. With just 6 Legendre polynomials to expand the angular part, we found for the ground state an energy of $E_{1 \sigma_{g}}=-1.102634186$ a.u. and $A_{m q}=0.811729480$.

For continuum states, we proceed as follows: For a given $q$, we first solve the angular part (8b) for a fixed positive energy $E_{m q}$; here again we used 6 Legendre polynomials. For the radial part we then start the search of a $\xi_{\text {max }}$ such that at $\xi=\xi_{\text {max }}$ that energy eigenvalue $E_{m q}$ has a zero in that endpoint. Starting with a guess value for $\xi_{\text {max }}$ around 80 a.u., we perform a bracketing minimum search for the radial (energy) eigenvalue problem, defined as the absolute value of the difference between the searched energy and the closest real eigenvalue. After bracketing we use Brent's method for minimum search, ${ }^{25}$ up to a tolerance of $10^{-10}$.

## 3. Results

In this paper we consider only the hydrogen molecular ion $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{+}$for which $Z_{1}=Z_{2}=1$ and thus $a_{1}=0$. In order to facilitate the comparison with other theoretical results, all calculations were performed for a fixed internuclear distance of $R=2$ a.u.

### 3.1 Continuum states

For a given energy $E_{c}$, and given numbers $m$ and $q$, we solve the coupled system of equations. We set $\xi_{\max }$, the maximum of the radial coordinate, close to 80 a.u. The key of the Sturmian method resides in the fact that the asymptotic behavior of the solution is already fulfilled by the basis functions; therefore, only a few elements are needed to expand the internal region. As a numerical check, we have also used B -splines to construct the radial Sturmian basis, and validated all calculations.

As an example, we present in Figs. 2 and 3 the angular and radial solutions we have obtained for $m=0$, an energy $E_{c}=1$ a.u. and for the components $q=1,3,5$. The number of nodes in the angular solutions is clearly observed. To better appreciate the asymptotic radial behavior, we plotted the radial solutions $U_{q}(\xi)$ multiplied by $\xi$. This product reaches quite rapidly the asymptotic regime (14); from $\xi=20$ up to $\xi_{\max }$ (not shown) each component $\xi U_{q}(\xi)$ is essentially a sinus function. For comparison, the ground state is


Fig. 2 The angular function $\Lambda_{m q}(\eta)$, solution of Eq. (8b) for $m=0$ and $q=1,3$, and 5, calculated for $E_{c}=1$ a.u. with 6 Legendre polynomials.


Fig. 3 The radial function $\xi U_{m q}(\xi)$, solution of Eq. (8a) for $m=0$ and $q=1,3$, and 5 , calculated for $E_{c}=1$ a.u. with 10 radial Sturmian functions. The radial part of the ground state $\Psi_{1 \sigma_{g}}$ is also plotted (in green).
also included in the figure; the radial function decays very rapidly, being negligible for $\xi$ larger than 10 a.u.

### 3.2 Photoionization cross section

In order to test the quality of our continuum states, we apply them to study the photoionization of $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{+}$by a single photon of energy $\omega$, and linearly polarized along the $\hat{\epsilon}$ direction (here chosen along the $z$-axis). If the photoelectron is ejected with energy $E_{c}=k^{2} / 2$, the energy conservation reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=E_{c}+I P \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I P$ stands for the ionization potential. Hereafter we assume the molecular target, described by the wavefunction $\Psi_{i}$, to be in its ground state $1 \sigma_{g}$ for which $I P=1.102634$ a.u. Also, we take the continuum state, described by a wavefunction $\Psi_{k}$, to be normalized in energy space.

In the dipole approximation, the cross section in the length gauge is given $\mathrm{by}^{26}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\sigma=4 \pi^{2} \alpha \omega\left|\left\langle\Psi_{k}\right| \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \mathbf{r}\right| \Psi_{i}\right\rangle\left.\right|^{2} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ stands for the fine structure constant. The polarization being along the $z$-axis, the dipole operator reads $\hat{\epsilon} \cdot \mathbf{r}=z=\frac{\xi \eta R}{2}$. In the velocity gauge the definition is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\sigma=\frac{4 \pi^{2} \alpha}{\omega}\left|\left\langle\Psi_{k}\right| \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\right| \Psi_{i}\right\rangle\left.\right|^{2}, \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, for the chosen polarization, the operator reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\epsilon} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{r}}=\frac{d}{d z}=\frac{2}{R\left(\xi^{2}-\eta^{2}\right)}\left[\eta\left(\xi^{2}-1\right) \frac{d}{d \xi}+\xi\left(1-\eta^{2}\right) \frac{d}{d \eta}\right] . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

In both cases, the matrix element is a three-dimensional integral over the electronic coordinates. The cross sections calculated in both gauges are equal if exact wavefunctions are used in the matrix element evaluation. Any deviation is a signature of the approximate description of the states involved. Generally speaking, the photoionization process provides an indirect tool to test the theoretical description of the target before and after the interaction, and thus our capacity of describing correlation and many-body effects for both bound and unbound electronic states. In the case of the oneelectron ion $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{+}$, there is no correlation, but the present photoionization study provides a stringent test of the final continuum state on which this paper is focused.

Since the ground state $1 \sigma_{g}$ corresponds to $m=0$, the continuum state will also have $m=0$. Moreover, the ground state has no zeros $(q=0)$ so that only odd values of $q$ of the continuum will contribute (it turns out that values higher than $q=5$ do not contribute significantly).

We have calculated, in both the length and velocity formulation, the contribution of different $q$ values, and denote $\sigma_{q}$ the corresponding partial cross section. We denote by $\sigma_{\text {total }}=\sum_{q} \sigma_{q}$. In Table 1 we provide (1/3) $\sigma_{q}$ for different energies and compare with results from the literature.

From these results, we can make the following statements. Our approach provides very satisfactory results with rather small basis sets. They can be compared, for example, with the 20 angular times 80 radial B-splines with order 7, employed in the calculations presented in Ref. 21. Gauge agreement is also pretty well observed, except for $q=5$ whose contribution is though rather small relatively to the $q=1$ and $q=3$ components.

In Fig. 4 we show the contributions $\sigma_{\text {total }}$ obtained in both length and velocity gauge. We compare our results with those of Bian ${ }^{21}$ obtained with an imaginary-time-propagation (ITP) method (note that Bian gives only length gauge data); the length and velocity gauge results of Tao et al. ${ }^{18}$ obtained with the complex scaling method are visually indistinguishable from those of Bian and are not reproduced here. Our results are in very nice agreement over the whole energy range.

Table 1 Partial cross sections $(1 / 3) \sigma_{q}$ for the photoionization of the ground state $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{+}$ $1 \sigma_{g} \rightarrow E_{c} \sigma_{u}$ calculated at a internuclear distance $R=2$ a.u.

| Photoelectron energy $E_{c}=k^{2} / 2 \text { (a.u.) }$ | Gauge and source | $\begin{aligned} & E_{c} p \sigma_{u} \\ & \sigma_{q=1} \\ & \left(\times 10^{-2} \mathrm{Mb}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & E_{c} f \sigma_{u} \\ & \sigma_{q=3} \\ & \left(\times 10^{-2} \mathrm{Mb}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $E_{c} h \sigma_{u}$ $\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{q=5} \\ & \left(\times 10^{-2} \mathrm{Mb}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | L [present] | 0.694 | 0.933 | 0.00031 |
|  | V [present] | 0.698 | 0.906 | 0.00071 |
|  | L\&V ${ }^{15}$ | 0.694 | 0.904 | 0.00042 |
|  | $\mathrm{L}^{21}$ | 0.688 | 0.907 |  |
| 2 | L [present] | 0.516 | 0.630 | 0.00632 |
|  | V [present] | 0.516 | 0.613 | 0.00176 |
|  | L\&V ${ }^{15}$ | 0.516 | 0.618 | 0.00132 |
|  | $\mathrm{L}^{21}$ | 0.517 | 0.618 |  |
| 4 | L [present] | 0.198 | 0.222 | 0.00916 |
|  | V [present] | 0.198 | 0.218 | 0.00347 |
|  | L\&V ${ }^{15}$ | 0.200 | 0.222 | 0.00314 |
|  | $\mathrm{L}^{21}$ | 0.202 | 0.220 |  |



Fig. 4 Cross sections for the photoionization of the ground state of $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{+}$as a function of the photoelectron energy $E_{c}=k^{2} / 2$.

In Fig. 5 we show the relative contributions $\sigma_{q} / \sigma_{\text {total }}$ for $q=1,3$, and 5 . We observe the same trend as featured by the results of Bian. ${ }^{21}$ The total cross section is strongly dominated by the $q=1$ channel at low energies but this contribution decreases rapidly as the energy increases. At about


Fig. 5 Relative contribution of each $\sigma_{q} / \sigma_{\text {total }}$ (calculated in the length gauge) for the photoionization of the ground state of $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{+}$, as a function of the photoelectron energy $E_{c}=k^{2} / 2$.
$E_{c}=5$ a.u. the $q=3$ becomes the largest contribution. The $q=5$ component remains weak throughout the considered energy range (about two orders of magnitude smaller).


## 4. Summary

We have investigated continuum states of the molecular ion $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{+}$, using expansions on Generalized Sturmian Functions in spheroidal prolate coordinates. The numerical scheme, based on a double expansion in (quasi)radial and (quasi)angular coordinates, is presented and tested. The continuum wavefunction is used to calculate photoionization cross sections which compare very well with data found in the literature. It is worth emphasizing that in our calculation both the initial bound and final continuum states are treated within the same Sturmian approach which proves to be robust, and numerically efficient since only rather small basis are needed. This is due to (i) the use of natural coordinates for this molecular geometry; (ii) the intrinsically physical behavior imposed asymptotically onto the radial Sturmian functions. In line with what was presented in Ref. 8, we are currently also implementing a two-dimensional approach whereby a twodimensional Sturmian basis set is used.

The present work provides us with positive energy one-electron basis functions suited for diatomic molecules. In a near future, we plan to apply the developed tool to explore angular distributions for the photoionization of one-electron diatomic molecules, ${ }^{18,20,27}$ and study also electron scattering. ${ }^{20}$

In a more remote future, the idea is to deal with the more challenging twoelectron correlated case, by investigating single and double ionization processes on $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and on quasi two-electron targets like $\mathrm{N}_{2} .{ }^{28}$ Similarly to what was proposed with Generalized Sturmian Functions for atomic systems, ${ }^{29}$ one way to take up this challenge for many-electrons diatomic molecules is to proceed by constructing correlated functions, with angularly coupled products of the present one-electron Sturmian functions in prolate spheroidal coordinates. Among the two-electron matrix elements, integrals involving the electron-electron interaction $1 /\left|\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right|$ will be the most difficult to evaluate. However we should be able to make good use of its expansion in prolate spheroidal coordinates, which is given in terms of regular Legendre functions in the angular variables $\eta_{1}$ and $\eta_{2}$, and the regular and irregular Legendre functions in the radial variables $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}$. ${ }^{30}$
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